## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

RICHARD D	. GL/	AWSON	Plain	,	
			i iaii	itiii,	Civil Action
v.  ROBERT KEATON, et al.					No. 04-12199-MLW
Defendants.					
ORDER ON SCREENING PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 1915(e)(2) AND/OR 1915A					
Having completed the screening on the merits of plaintiff's complaint pursuant to Sections 1915(e)(2) and/or 1915A:					
<u>FINDINGS</u>					
1.	The complaint is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted:				
	No		Yes		as to defendant(s)
2.		complair			from a defendant or defendants who are
	No	$\boxtimes$	Yes		as to defendant(s)
3.	Section 1997e(g)(2) provides that:				
	"The court may require any defendant to reply to a complaint brought under this section if it finds that the plaintiff has a reasonable opportunity to prevail on the merits."				
	42 U.S.C. § 1997e(g)(2).				
	Is the complaint sufficient to satisfy the pleading requirements for stating cognizable claim and does the plaintiff have a reasonable opportunity to prevail on the merits against one or more defendants?				
	a. Y	∕es □	The	Court ha	as so determined and found as to defendant(s):

Case 1:04-cv-12199-MLW Document 14 Filed 09/29/2005 Page 2 of 3

1.

2.

plaintiff's claims against defendant(s)?  $\boxtimes$ Yes  $\square$ ☐ as to all defendants No □ only as to defendant(s) 3. a. Although defendant(s) may not have been served with a summons and complaint, are the defendant(s) invited but not required to file an answer to aid the Court in reaching a prompt final disposition on the merits? ☐ as to all defendants Yes  $\square$ No □ only as to defendant(s) OR b. If the defendant(s) have been served with a summons and complaint, are the defendant(s) required to reply within the time specified in the summons? as to all defendants Yes 🛛 No □ only as to defendant(s) <u>September 29, 2005</u> /s/ Mark L. Wolf DATE MARK L. WOLF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Document 14

Filed 09/29/2005

Page 3 of 3

Case 1:04-cv-12199-MLW